# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1048-03

Bill No.: HCS for SB 173

Subject: General Assembly; Transportation

Type: Original

Date: April 15, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal requires the Joint Committee on Missouri's Promise to

development long-term strategies and plans relating to developing a modern infrastructure and transportation system. This portion of the proposal establishes the Missouri State Transit Assistance Program to provide financial assistance to defray the operating and capital costs incurred by public mass transportation providers. This portion of the proposal modifies law regarding commissions' authority to enter into additional design-build contracts. This portion of the proposal authorizes metropolitan sewer districts to enter into design-build contracts for construction projects costing more than \$1 million. This portion of the proposal modifies provisions relating to underground facility notification

centers.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

Page 2 of 6 April 15, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

VL:LR:OD (12/02)

Page 3 of 6 April 15, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Missouri Senate**, **Missouri House of Representatives**, and **Secretary of State's Office** assume there will be no fiscal impact to their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning** assume this proposal should not result in additional costs or savings to the Division of Budget and Planning. The change will not result in additional costs or savings to the state related to TSR or 18(e).

### § 226.195

Officials at the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **City of Kansas City** assume there will be no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

VL:LR:OD (12/02)

Page 4 of 6 April 15, 2011

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials at the City of St. Louis did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes MoDOT is given the authority to create a state transit assistance program that can accept funds from the federal government. Should MoDOT pursue federal funding it is assumed all money received will be spent in accordance with the legislation. **Oversight** is showing no fiscal impact.

## § 227.107

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** assume there will be no fiscal impact to their agency.

#### § 249.425

Officials from the **County of St. Louis**, **Department of Economic Development**, **Public Service Commission** and the **Office of Public Counsel** assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **City of St. Louis** and the **Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal is permissive in nature. Therefore, Oversight will not reflect a direct fiscal impact as a result of this proposal.

#### § 319.016 & 319.025

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** assume there will be no fiscal impact to their agency.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

Page 5 of 6 April 15, 2011

	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

# **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Transportation
Secretary of State's Office
Missouri Senate
Missouri House of Representatives
Office of Administration Division of Budget & Planning
City of Kansas City
State Treasurer's Office
St. Louis County
St. Charles County
Department of Economic Development Office of Public Counsel
Public Service Commission

Mickey Wilen

Page 6 of 6 April 15, 2011

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Director April 15, 2011